

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/842,404	09/842,404 04/26/2001		Chester Struble	P-8032	9095	
27581	7590	07/12/2004		EXAMINER		
MEDTRONIC, INC.				OROPEZA,	OROPEZA, FRANCES P	
710 MEDTE	ONIC PA	RKWAY NE				
MS-LC340				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604				3762		

3762 DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

WF

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/842.404 STRUBLE, CHESTER Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit 3762 Frances P. Oropeza --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires ____ ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 🛮 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: 60-64 and 84-89. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-59,65-83 and 90-124. 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTQ-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: ____ NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The Applicant amended independent claim 60 to include the following new limitations: "identifying a conductive disorder in response to the determined relative distribution, and adjusting a therapy delivered by the pacing system in response to the identified conductive disorder. This amendment introduces new issues and requires a new search and further consideration.

The Applicant argues the reference of record, US 6430439 to Wentkowski et al., does not teach the limitiaton of claims 60 and 84: "determining which ventricular chamber a conduction sequence originated in and which ventricular chamber the conduction sequence is propagated to". The Examiner disagrees. Wentkowski et al. teach a method of operating a cardiac pacemaker by providing sensing and pacing capability in each of the two ventricular cardiac chambers (col. 2 @ 52-54 and 63-66), monitoring the cardiac sensing and pacing activity in an originating ventricular chamber and monitoring the sensing and pacing response in the other ventricular chamber, providing pacing as needed when the conduction sequence is not properly propagated (col. 3 @ 22-31; col. 7 @ 2-49), and recording the data of the electrical activity of the ventricular chambers (col. 8 @ 10-17), hence Wentkowski is read to teach "determining which ventricular chamber a conduction sequence originated in and which ventricular chamber the conduction sequence is propagated to". The rejection of record stands.

7/8/04